

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

22-CR-124 (3) (NEB/DTS)

MAHAD IBRAHIM,

Defendant.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

22-CR-222 (NEB/DTS)

LIBAN YASIN ALISHIRE, ET AL.,

Defendants.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

22-CR-223 (NEB/DTS)

AIMEE MARIE BOCK, ET AL.,

Defendants.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

22-CR-224 (NEB/DTS)

QAMAR AHMED HASSAN, ET AL.,

Defendants.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

24-CR-15 (NEB/DTS)

IKRAM YUSUF MOHAMED, ET AL.,

Defendants.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

25-CR-214 (NEB/DTS)

HIBO SALAH DAAR,

Defendant.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

25-CR-226 (NEB/DTS)

DOROTHY JEAN MOORE,

Defendant.

SECOND AMENDED ORDER SETTING TRIALS

On February 21, 2025 this Court issued an amended order scheduling trial for the remaining defendants in this “Feeding Our Future” financial fraud scheme. The Court is reviewing these cases monthly to keep the cases moving and on track for trial. Due to the number of cases and defendants left to try, other criminal trials scheduled before the Court, cases and defendants that no longer require a trial and anticipated length of the remaining trials, this Order resets date-certain trials, with the amended trial dates stated below.

The Court HEREBY ORDERS the following trial schedule. The parties and attorneys involved in each case are to be prepared and available for trial on these dates.

1. **United States v. Aimee Bock, et al. (22-cr-223): February 3, 2025 through September 5, 2025.** Based on the size of the courtroom and other logistical considerations, the Court cannot try all 12 defendants in this case together. The Court has grouped the defendants as follows:

- a. **February 3 – March 14, 2025** Amy Marie Bock (1) and Salim Ahmed Said (3)¹; and
- b. **August 18 – September 5, 2025** (Asad Mohamed Abshir (11), Hamdi Hussein Omar (12) Ahmed Abdullahi Ghedi (13), and Abdirahman Mohamud Ahmed (14)².

The dates listed above are date certain; however, if a prior trial runs long, then the start date could be moved slightly. While the Court intends to take a break between each block of defendants in this this case, the Court will be trying other criminal cases in-between. To keep the trial moving, the Court generally will hold trial on Fridays, but will assess this plan during trial. The Court will issue an amended trial notices to adjust the Defendants now scheduled in each trial block if needed.

- 2. **United States v. Mahad Ibrahim (22-cr-124-3): August 18 – September 5, 2025.**³ The Court will issue an amended trial notice with trial document deadlines.
- 3. **United States v. Ahmed Yasin Ali and Khadar Jigre Adan (22-cr-222): September 15, 2025 through October 2, 2025**⁴. The Court will issue an amended trial notice with trial document deadlines.
- 4. **United States v. Qamar Ahmed Hassan, et al. (22-cr-224): October 14, 2025**⁵ through **October 31, 2025**. The Court will issue a trial notice with trial document deadlines with this date.
- 5. **United States v. Ikram Yusuf Mohamed (24-cr-15): To be determined after pretrial motions have been completed.**

¹ This trial was completed on March 19, 2025.

² The second trial for USA v. Aimee Bock was scheduled to start August 11, 2025 but has been moved to begin August 18, 2025.

³ USA v. Mahad Ibrahim was scheduled to start August 11, 2025 but has been moved to begin August 18, 2025.

⁴ USA v. Ali and Adan was scheduled to start September 8, 2025 but has been moved to begin September 15, 2025.

⁵ USA v. Qamar Ahmed Hassan, et al. was scheduled to start October 6, 2025 but has been moved to begin October 14, 2025.

6. **United States v. Hibbo Salah Daar (25-cr-214): To be determined after pretrial motions have been completed.**
7. **United States v. Dorothy Jean Moor (25-226): To be determined after pretrial motions have been completed.**

The above-referenced cases are all related as part of a larger “Feeding our Future” alleged financial fraud scheme, under which the government have charged 72 defendants to date. Early on, these cases were designated as complex for purposes of the Speedy Trial Act pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 316(h)(7)(B)(ii), based on the large volume of discovery, the nature of the evidence collected, and the sheer number of defendants⁶. In some cases, the Court has granted multiple requests from the parties to continue motion hearings, continue trials, or for additional briefing.

Based on the complexity of the cases, the nature of the cases as related, the timing of when the cases are official trial read, to give adequate time for counsel to prepare and to ensure continuity of counsel, especially those that represent multiple defendants, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by the granting of these continuances outweigh the best interest of the public and the Defendants in a speedy trial. The Court thus continues to exclude the speedy trial time from the date of this order, until each trial date

⁶ The new cases 25-CR-214 and 25-CR-226 have pending motions to designate the case as complex.

listed above by the Court pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A)⁷.

Dated: June 17, 2025

BY THE COURT:

s/Nancy E. Brasel

Nancy E. Brasel

United States District Judge

⁷ Time was excluded from these cases on 7/25/24 and 2/21/25 until the trial date certain in the last orders filed. The Court continues to exclude the time until trial.